Plastin increases cortical connectivity to facilitate robust polarization and timely cytokinesis
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The cell cortex is essential to maintain animal cell shape, and contractile forces generated within it by nonmuscle myosin II (NMY-2) drive cellular morphogenetic processes such as cytokinesis. The role of actin cross-linking proteins in cortical dynamics is still incompletely understood. Here, we show that the evolutionarily conserved actin bundling/cross-linking protein plastin is instrumental for the generation of potent cortical actomyosin contractility in the Caenorhabditis elegans zygote. PLST-1 was enriched in contractile structures and was required for effective coalescence of NMY-2 filaments into large contractile foci and for long-range coordinated contractility in the cortex. In the absence of PLST-1, polarization was compromised, cytokinesis was delayed or failed, and 50% of embryos died during development. Moreover, mathematical modeling showed that an optimal amount of bundling agents enhanced the ability of a network to contract. We propose that by increasing the connectivity of the F-actin meshwork, plastin enables the cortex to generate stronger and more coordinated forces to accomplish cellular morphogenesis.

Introduction

Actomyosin-mediated cortical contractility is essential for a large number of force-dependent biological processes, from polarization and cytokinesis at the single-cell level to wound healing and embryo morphogenesis at the multicellular level (Maddox et al., 2005; Lecuit and Lenne, 2007; Levayer and Lecuit, 2012; Salbreux et al., 2012; Munjal and Lecuit, 2014; Zaidel-Bar et al., 2015). The cortex in these processes undergoes constant remodeling and is capable of forming complex higher-order structures (Stossel et al., 2006; Tse et al., 2011). Such structural plasticity is made possible by the capability of actin to be polymerized and depolymerized, bundled and cross-linked, and anchored to the plasma membrane by a multitude of actin-binding proteins (Skop et al., 2004; Salbreux et al., 2012; Fievet et al., 2013). Although the role of F-actin cross-linking proteins has been extensively studied in vitro (Wachstock et al., 1994; Gardel et al., 2004; Delanote et al., 2005; Wagner et al., 2006; Murrell and Gardel, 2012), their function in the cortex of animal cells is still poorly understood. As such, our goal in this study was to address how cross-linking affects the architecture of the cortex and its capacity to drive contractility-dependent processes in vivo. The Caenorhabditis elegans zygote is an excellent model to investigate this question as important developmental processes such as polar body extrusion, polarization, and the first cell division depend on cortical contractility. Cortical actomyosin in early C. elegans embryos consists of a network of nonmuscle myosin II (NMY-2) foci interconnected by F-actin bundles (Strome, 1986; Lin et al., 1993; Munro et al., 2004; Dimitriadi et al., 2010). Asymmetric contractility within the cortex results in cortical flows that initiate polarization when directed from posterior to anterior and chirality when the flow is rotational (Hird and White, 1993; Munro et al., 2004; Mayer et al., 2010; Naganathan et al., 2014; Schonegg et al., 2014). Cortical dynamics are also responsible for cytokinetic ring formation and cell division (Werner et al., 2007), and are regulated by non-junctional HMR-1/E-cadherin clusters (Padmanabhan et al., 2017).

Plastin (also known as fimbrin) orthologues can be found from lower eukaryotes to humans (Delanote et al., 2005). Mammals have three plastin genes, two of which are tissue specific and one of which is expressed ubiquitously, whereas invertebrates, including C. elegans, have only one plastin gene (Strome, 1986;
Results

PLST-1 associates with both formin- and arp2/3-mediated cortical F-actin and is enriched in contractile structures

Sequence analysis of the C. elegans genome revealed that PLST-1 is the only full-length protein having extensive conservation with other metazoans' plastin/timbrin (Fig. S1). RT-PCR analysis revealed two possible isoforms of PLST-1, different by only three amino acids in the unstructured region between the second and third CH domains (Fig. S1, cyan arrowheads). The two isoforms shared >47% identity and >62% similarity with all three human plastin isoforms (PLS1, PLS2, and PLS3). We noted that residues critical for calcium binding in the EF-hands were not conserved in C. elegans PLST-1 (Fig. S1, red arrowheads). Also, the serine residue that was previously shown to be phosphorylated in human PLS2 appeared not to be conserved in PLST-1 (Fig. S1, green arrowhead; Messier et al., 1993).

To visualize the localization of endogenous PLST-1, we generated a translational fusion of PLST-1 with GFP at the C terminus using CRISPR/Cas9. PLST-1::GFP localized to both filamentous and punctate structures at the cortex of newly fertilized zygotes (Fig. 1 A, red and yellow arrowheads, respectively). PLST-1::GFP continued to be expressed ubiquitously during the first 5 h of embryogenesis, with prominent enrichment at cell–cell contacts (Fig. S2 A, red arrowheads), and during elongation, PLST-1::GFP was enriched in the buccal region of the epidermis (Fig. S2 A, cyan arrowhead), pharynx (Fig. S2 A, green arrowhead), and intestine (Fig. S2 A, magenta arrowhead). We also noted that PLST-1 was expressed in various cells in the adult hermaphrodite, as shown in Fig. S2 B.

The filamentous and punctate structures formed by PLST-1::GFP in the newly fertilized zygote were also labeled by Lifeact::RFP, an F-actin reporter (Fig. 1 A and Video 1). The strong colocalization of the PLST-1::GFP and Lifeact::RFP signals, from before polarization, during polarity establishment and maintenance, and throughout cytokinesis, is evident in the representative line scans (Fig. 1 A) and from calculated Pearson’s correlation coefficients with values >0.7 (n ≥ 8; Fig. 1 B). This suggests that PLST-1::GFP associates with all cortical F-actin.

Previously, it has been shown that filamentous and punctate F-actin structures in the C. elegans zygote are assembled by two distinct nucleators: the diaphanous-like formin CYK-1 is responsible for filamentous structures, whereas the arp2/3 complex ARX-2/3 is responsible for punctate structures (Velarde et al., 2007; Shivis and Skop, 2012). To establish whether PLST-1 associates with F-actin polymerized by both nucleators, we examined PLST-1 localization in zygotes depleted for either arx-2 or cyk-1. As expected, arx-2(RNAi) zygotes lost all puncta and retained only filamentous structures, whereas cyk-1(RNAi) zygotes lost the majority of filamentous structures and retained mostly puncta (Fig. 1 C). Importantly, PLST-1::GFP was observed to localize in the remaining structures under both conditions (Fig. 1 C), indicating that PLST-1 associates with both arp2/3- and formin-polymerized F-actin.

Although PLST-1 colocalized with all Lifeact-labeled F-actin structures, a closer examination of the fluorescence intensity profiles revealed that PLST-1 was particularly enriched (relative to Lifeact) at certain regions, most notably at the pseudocleavage and cytokinetic ring (Fig. 1 A, bottom panel). Ratiometric analysis further highlighted enrichment of PLST-1 in the aforementioned contractile structures, as well as actomyosin foci formed during polarity establishment (Fig. 1 D and Video 2). To gain insight into the dynamics of PLST-1 enrichment in F-actin foci, we followed both proteins throughout the lifetime of individual foci with high temporal resolution (Fig. 1 E). PLST-1 first appeared together with a loose network of F-actin, and as this network coalesced, the intensities of both F-actin and PLST-1 increased, but the intensity of PLST-1 increased more rapidly, leading to an enrichment of PLST-1 in the resulting focus. A further enrichment of PLST-1 occurred during the early stages of focus disassembly when the level of F-actin diminished faster than that of PLST-1.

PLST-1 binds and bundles F-actin in vitro

Plastin orthologues in a variety of organisms have been shown to bundle F-actin (Bretscher, 1981; Nakano et al., 2001; Shirayama and Numata, 2003; Skau et al., 2011). The high degree of protein similarity and the colocalization between PLST-1 and F-actin we observed during various stages of early embryogenesis suggested that C. elegans PLST-1 is also capable of bundling F-actin. To demonstrate this directly, we purified recombinant PLST-1 from Escherichia coli and used it in high-speed (150,000 g) or low-speed (14,000 g) F-actin cosedimentation experiments to test for F-actin binding or bundling activity, respectively (Fig. 2, A and B). In control experiments, high-speed centrifugation is unable to pellet PLST-1 in the absence of F-actin. However, when F-actin was introduced at increasing concentrations from 1 µM to 10 µM, the amount of PLST-1 pelleted together with F-actin increased as well (Fig. 2 A). This demonstrated that PLST-1 directly binds F-actin.

At low centrifugation speeds, only a small fraction of F-actin sedimented on its own. Addition of BSA did not change the proportion of sedimented F-actin. However, addition of PLST-1 lead to a massive shift of F-actin from the supernatant to the pellet, similar to the effect of adding recombinant...
Figure 1. Endogenous PLST-1 labeled with GFP localizes to the cortex of newly fertilized C. elegans zygotes. (A) Cortical view of the newly fertilized C. elegans zygote coexpressing PLST-1::GFP and the F-actin reporter Lifeact::RFP. Punctate and filamentous structures are highlighted by yellow and red arrowheads, respectively. Colocalization of the PLST-1::GFP and Lifeact::RFP signals is shown in the merged channel and representative band scans. (B) Pearson’s correlation between PLST-1::GFP and Lifeact::RFP at various stages in single-cell zygote (n ≥ 8). (C) Filamentous and punctate structures formed by PLST-1::GFP can be separated by arx-2 and cyc-1 RNAi. (D) Ratiometric analysis of PLST-1::GFP/Lifeact::RFP fluorescent intensities reveals that PLST-1::GFP signal is enriched in contractile structures. (E) Time lapse of PLST-1::GFP/Lifeact::RFP during the formation and the subsequent disassembly of a contractile F-actin cluster during polarity establishment. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Bars, 5 µm.
α-actinin (Fig. 2 B). F-actin will only pellet at low speed when bundled into higher-order supramolecular complexes (Meyer and Aebl, 1990). Thus, these results demonstrated that PLST-1 bundles F-actin in vitro.

Characterization of plst-1(tm4255) loss-of-function allele

To facilitate the study of PLST-1 function we made use of the plst-1(tm4255) allele, a 370-bp deletion mutant that abrogates most of the second-to-last exon encoding part of the third CH and most of the fourth CH domain (Fig. 3 A). To determine whether a truncated PLST-1 protein is being translated, we extracted mRNA and conducted RT-PCRs on both wild-type and plst-1 cDNA (from the undeleted region) was undetectable in cDNA in the control, to detect plst-1 cDNA (from the undeleted region) was undetectable in plst-1 fragment cDNA if it were present in the mutant. Although we were able to detect plst-1 cDNA in the control, plst-1 cDNA (from the undeleted region) was undetectable in plst-1(tm4255) worms (Fig. 3 B), suggesting that the mRNA is unstable, likely because of nonsense-mediated decay (Behm-Ansment et al., 2007; Chang et al., 2007), effectively rendering this a null mutant.

The plst-1(tm4255) allele resulted in 51% embryonic lethality (n = 851) compared with 0% in control embryos (n = 850). In contrast, plst-1(RNAi), which depleted PLST-1::GFP (Fig. S3 A), displayed only 11% embryonic lethality (n = 340). Although RNAi-mediated protein knockdown often results in a milder phenotype compared with a null mutant, this discrepancy could have also been caused by a second mutation in the plst-1(tm4255) strain that causes on its own an embryonic lethal phenotype and which is situated very close to the plst-1 locus such that it cannot be crossed out. To rule out the latter possibility, we introduced via mos1-mediated single-copy insertion an additional copy of plst-1::gfp and tested its ability to rescue plst-1::gfp (Fig. S3 B). We found the exogenous expression of PLST-1::GFP in the plst-1(tm4255) background eliminated embryonic lethality down to 0% (n = 987), confirming that all the embryonic lethality is caused by loss of plastin function. Hereafter, we refer to the plst-1(tm4255) allele as plst-1.

To establish the stage at which embryos failed to develop normally we followed embryogenesis of plst-1 embryos in time-lapse Nomarski movies (n = 41). We found a range of terminal phenotypes: failure before gastrulation (22%), epidermal morphogenesis failure (41%), and rupture during elongation (37%).

plst-1 zygotes exhibit multiple abnormal phenotypes associated with reduced contractility

To follow events in early embryogenesis, we imaged newly fertilized zygotes coexpressing a membrane marker, GFP::PLC16-ph, and a histone marker, H3S8::mCherry. As detailed in the following paragraphs, several cellular events attributed to actomyosin contractility were observed in the control zygotes but were severely attenuated in plst-1 zygotes (Fig. 3 C and Video 3). Membrane ruffling, which occurred all around the control zygote, concurrent with the second meiotic division, was strongly attenuated in plst-1 zygotes (Fig. 3 C, yellow arrowheads). In control zygotes, the subsequent relaxation of the posterior cortex culminated in the formation of a pseudocleavage, marking the completion of polarity establishment. Pseudocleavage progression was significantly attenuated in plst-1 zygotes compared with the control (2.4% ± 0.6% vs. 40.3% ± 3.6%; n ≥ 13; Fig. 3; C [red arrowheads] and D).

After polarity establishment, the oocyte and the sperm pronuclei meet. Consistent with a previous study, control pronuclei met at the posterior half of the zygote (0.679 ± 0.004; n = 16; Fig. 3 E; Albertson, 1984). In contrast, the pronuclei of plst-1 met significantly closer to the midpoint of the anterior–posterior (A-P) axis (0.566 ± 0.009; n = 19; P < 0.0001), a phenotype typically associated with disruption of the actomyosin skeleton, even though pronuclear migration is known to be dependent on microtubule-related machinery (Strome and Wood, 1983; Hill and Strome, 1988).

After anaphase onset, concurrent with spindle oscillation, multiple membrane invaginations were observed in plst-1 zygotes, primarily in the posterior cortex (38.4 ± 2.7 invaginations/embryo; n = 20), whereas such invaginations were seldom observed in control zygotes (2.8 ± 0.5 invaginations/embryo; n ≥ 13; Fig. 3 C [cyan arrowheads] and F). Such membrane invaginations were previously shown to result from the inability of the actomyosin cortex to resist pulling forces exerted by microtubules on the plasma membrane during spindle oscillation (Redemann et al., 2010). To demonstrate that this was the case here, we imaged plst-1 zygotes coexpressing GFP::tubulin and PH::mCherry (Fig. 3 G and Video 4). We found that the tip of each membrane invagination in the posterior cortex of plst-1 zygotes colocalized with a microtubule tip, consistent with the
Figure 3. *plst-1* null zygotes display defects in cortical contractility-related processes during early embryogenesis. (A) Schematic showing position of the tm4255 deletion in the *plst-1* gene and its possible truncated protein product. CH, calponin homology domain; EF, EF-hand like domain. (B) RT-PCR analysis of *plst-1* mRNA in control and *plst-1*(tm4255) worms shows that no message can be detected in the mutant. (C) Equatorial view of control and *plst-1* zygotes expressing membrane marker GFP::PLC1δ-PH and histone marker HIS-58::mCherry. Yellow, red, and cyan arrowheads highlight cortical ruffling, pseudocleavage, and membrane invaginations, respectively. (D) Quantification of maximum pseudocleavage ingression in control (n = 13) and *plst-1* (n = 18) zygotes. (E) Quantification of pronuclear meeting position along the anterior–posterior (AP) axis in control (n = 16) and *plst-1* (n = 19) zygotes.
idea that plasma membrane invaginations were being pulled by microtubules (Fig. 3 G).

We repeated the phenotypic analysis with plst-1(RNAi) zygotes and observed similar attenuated contractility phenotypes only milder (Fig. S3 A). Importantly, all of the aforementioned phenotypes were completely rescued by expression of PLST-1::GFP in the plst-1 background (Fig. S3, B–E). We investigated whether plst-1 heterozygotes have any discernable phenotypes and found that they behave similarly to the wild-type, such as in the furrow closure time (248 ± 6 s vs. 232 ± 7 s; n ≥ 16; P = 0.0965).

We also tested whether α-actinin played a similar role to plst-1 in regulating early embryogenesis as a previous screen conducted in C. elegans found that the knockdown of atn-1, the worm orthologue of α-actinin, resulted in an early cytokinesis defect (Skop et al., 2004). However, we could not observe any loss of contractility phenotype in null mutant atn-1(ok84) zygotes (Fig. S4, A and B; Moulder et al., 2010) or enhancement of plst-1 phenotype in plst-1(tm4255);atn(ok84) double mutant zygotes (Fig. S4, C and D).

The observation of membrane invaginations in the plst-1 zygotes indicated that the cortex was not able to resist the microtubule-mediated pulling forces, suggesting the cortex in plst-1 embryos is “weaker” or “softer,” because of a change in tension and/or its mechanical properties. To test this hypothesis directly, we performed cortical laser ablations in control and plst-1 zygotes expressing NMY-2::GFP when the anterior myosin-rich cortex occupied 70% of the embryo length (Fig. 3 H). The initial recoil velocity measured in the plst-1 zygotes was significantly lower compared with that of the control (4.17 ± 0.67 µm/min vs. 7.35 ± 0.92 µm/min; n ≥ 19; P < 0.05; Fig. 3 I and Video 5). These results demonstrate directly that cortical mechanics are disrupted by plst-1 loss of function.

**PLST-1 is required for effective coalescence of nascent NMY-2 filaments into mature contractile foci**

Given the loss of cortical contractility phenotype in plst-1 zygotes, we compared the structural organization of the cortical actomyosin network between control and plst-1 zygotes. We imaged GFP::Utrophin and NMY-2::mCherry at the cortex before, during, and after the establishment of polarity (Fig. 4 A). The appearance of F-actin bundles was similar in control and plst-1 zygotes. Quantification of fluorescence intensities of GFP::Utrophin and NMY-2::mCherry throughout the establishment of polarity showed no difference between control and plst-1 (Fig. S5 A), suggesting that PLST-1 does not regulate cortical contractility through the recruitment of F-actin or NMY-2.

However, the cortical distribution of NMY-2 was noticeably different: whereas in control zygotes NMY-2 was mainly concentrated in a small number of large foci, in plst-1 zygotes, NMY-2 was dispersed throughout the cortex and formed mostly small foci, suggesting that NMY-2 is unable to coalesce into large and mature foci in plst-1 zygotes (Fig. 4 A).

Previous work has shown that NMY-2 foci form through coalescence of smaller nascent NMY-2 filaments (Munro et al., 2004). We reasoned that the smaller NMY-2 foci in plst-1 zygotes could be the result of diminished coalescence because of the lack of cross-linking activity in the network. To test this hypothesis, we chose mature NMY-2 foci observed in time-lapse movies of control and plst-1 polarizing zygotes and traced their formations from smaller nascent NMY-2 filaments (Fig. 4 B). As expected, in both control and plst-1 zygotes, the formation of a mature NMY-2 focus was often preceded by visible coalescence of smaller NMY-2 filaments from a region surrounding the eventual focus. There was, however, a pronounced difference between control and plst-1 in the area participating in the coalescence process. Quantification confirmed the median cortical area of interacting nascent NMY-2 filaments in control was larger compared with plst-1 zygotes (9.93 µm² vs. 7.07 µm²; n ≥ 110; n ≥ 11; Fig. 4 C). Quantification also determined that the median mature NMY-2 focus area in control was twofold larger compared with plst-1 zygotes (4.40 µm² vs. 2.13 µm²; n ≥ 236; n ≥ 10; Fig. 4 D).

During the analysis of foci dynamics, we noted that once assembled, NMY-2 foci appeared to remain longer at the cortex in control zygotes compared with plst-1 (Fig. 4 E). Quantification of the lifetime of NMY-2 foci during the establishment of polarity showed that the NMY-2 median foci lifetime in control zygotes was slightly longer than foci in plst-1 zygotes (35 vs. 30 s; n ≥ 858; n = 9; Fig. 4 E).

**PLST-1 is required for long-range directional cortical flows**

Next, we examined cortical dynamics in control and plst-1 zygotes expressing GFP::Utrophin and NMY-2::GFP (Videos 6 and 7). In control zygotes, during polarity establishment, both actin and myosin displayed strong cortical flows from the posterior toward the anterior, as described previously (Munro et al., 2004). In contrast, plst-1 zygotes displayed only weak cortical flows. This is evident in the kymographs shown in Fig. 5 A.

To further characterize cortical flows, we applied particle image velocimetry (PIV) analysis to the first 250 s of NMY-2::mCherry movies and generated mean velocity fields for both control and plst-1 zygotes (Fig. 5 B). These velocity fields clearly showed that flow in the plst-1 mutant is not only weaker but also less coherent in its direction. A plot of the mean velocity profile along the A-P axis during polarization shows the flow in control zygotes to be significantly higher than in the plst-1 zygotes all along the A-P axis (Fig. 5 C; and Fig. S5, B and C), confirming that PLST-1 activity is required for the generation of strong cortical flows.

To quantify directional persistence in cortical flows, we measured the cosine similarity between two vectors (defined as the cosine of the angle subtended by the two vectors) and plot-
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Figure 4. **PLST-1 is essential for effective coalescence of nascent NMY-2 filaments into mature contractile foci.** (A) Cortical views of control and plst-1 zygotes coexpressing GFP::Utrophin, NMY-2::mCherry, and HIS-58::mCherry before, during, and after polarization. Bar, 5 µm. (B) Time-lapse montage of the formation and the subsequent disassembly of an NMY2 focus during polarity establishment in control and plst-1 zygotes. Bar, 5 µm. (C) Histogram showing distribution of mature NMY2 focus area in control (n = 10, n = 236) and plst-1 (n = 10, n = 239) zygotes. (D) Histogram showing distribution of initial cortical area coalescing into NMY2 foci in control (n = 14, n = 120) and plst-1 (n = 11, n = 110) zygotes. (E) Histogram showing distribution of NMY2 focus lifetime in control (n = 9, n = 858) and plst-1 (n = 9, n = 1156) zygotes.
Figure 5. PLST-1 is required for long-range directional cortical flows and robust polarization of the zygote. (A) Representative kymographs of GFP::Utrophin and NMY-2::GFP in control and plst-1 zygotes during polarity establishment and maintenance. (B) Mean vector field of NMY-2::mCherry cortical flow in the control (n = 6) and plst-1 (n = 5) cortex during polarity establishment, based on PIV analysis. (C) X-component velocity profiles of NMY-2::mCherry cortical flow in control (n = 15) and plst-1 (n = 14) zygotes during polarity establishment. (D) Decay profile of cosine similarity between 2 vectors in the NMY-2::mCherry cortical flow in control (n = 15) and plst-1 zygotes (n = 14) during polarity establishment. (E) Cosine similarity length of control (n = 15) and plst-1 (n = 14) zygotes during polarity establishment. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. ****, P < 0.0001, by Mann–Whitney U test. (F) Equatorial view of control, plst-1 mild, and plst-1 severe zygotes expressing mCherry::PAR-6 and GFP::PAR-2. Bars, 5 µm. (G) Representative kymographs
PAR protein segregation during polarity establishment is defective in plst-1 zygotes

Because we observed a severe defect in actomyosin cortical flows during polarity establishment and a defect in polarization of the cortex, we wondered how plastin loss of function affects the polar distribution of the anterior and posterior PAR proteins, which is known to be, at least initially, dependent on cortical flow (Munro et al., 2004). To this end, we imaged zygotes expressing mCherry::PAR-6 and GFP::PAR-2 as markers for anterior and posterior PAR proteins, respectively, in both control and plst-1 zygotes (in the par-2(ok1723) null background for visualization of PAR-2 dynamics at close to wild-type levels; Video 9). Time series from representative movies are shown in Fig. 5 F, and corresponding linearized membrane kymographs are shown in Fig. 5 G. The control embryos all showed the expected rapid segregation of PAR proteins during establishment of polarity, which was completed by the time the pronuclei met and thereafter remained stable. Among plst-1 zygotes we distinguished two phenotypes in terms of severity. 78% of the plst-1 zygotes (n = 14) displayed a mild phenotype, where the boundary established between mCherry::PAR-6 and GFP::PAR-2 was more posterior compared with the control (Fig. 5, F and G; plst-1(tm255) mild). During anaphase onset, the boundary between anterior and posterior PAR domains was more volatile and eventually distorted in the divided zygote. In the remaining 22% of the plst-1 zygotes (n = 4), severe distortion of the boundary was evident even before the establishment of polarity (Fig. 5, F and G; plst-1(tm4255) severe). Unlike its mild counterpart, the distorted GFP::PAR-2 domain increased in size and eventually encompassed more than the posterior cortex, overreaching into the anterior cortex during polarity maintenance. This boundary distortion corrected itself partially upon anaphase onset and the PAR proteins eventually segregated themselves into respective daughter cells.

We calculated a polarity index, which is a measure of the enrichment of each PAR protein in its respective domain relative to the entire cortex, and plotted it over time in control and both mutant groups (Fig. 5 H). This quantification confirmed that PAR protein segregation is defective during polarity establishment (~400 s to 0 s, relative to pronuclear meeting) in both mild and severe plst-1 zygotes, and in the severe plst-1 zygotes, polarity is not rescued even during polarity maintenance phase (Fig. 5 H).

PLST-1 promotes efficient recruitment of myosin for timely cytokinesis

After anaphase onset, the zygote cortex undergoes major remodeling, culminating in the formation of a cytokinetic ring that will ingress and divide the cell in two (Lewellyn et al., 2011). We have observed, using a plasma membrane marker, a substantial delay in cytokinesis in plst-1 zygotes (Fig. 3 C). Further examination of a large number of plst-1 embryos revealed the existence of three phenotypes: in 85% of zygotes, cytokinesis initiation was delayed but cytokinesis was eventually completed; in 6%, cytokinesis was delayed, and after it began, it regressed; and in 9%, cytokinesis initiation completely failed (n = 34; Fig. 6 A). We focused our analysis on the major phenotype (i.e., a delay in the initiation but completion of cytokinesis). Quantification confirmed that the time period from anaphase onset to the completion of cytokinesis in control zygotes was significantly shorter than that of plst-1 zygotes that were able to complete cytokinesis (244 ± 4 s vs. 421 ± 10 s; n ≥ 18; P < 0.0001; Fig. 6 B). A close examination of the dynamics of furrow ingression showed that the delay manifested primarily during the initiation phase (1.0 to 0.75 normalized cortical distance), and there was no significant difference in the furrow constriction rate during the ingression period (0.75 to 0 normalized cortical distance) between control zygotes and the 85% of plst-1 zygotes (0.276 ± 0.017 μm/s vs. 0.254 ± 0.01 μm/s; n ≥ 13; P = 0.234; Fig. 6, C and D) that completed cytokinesis. Combining these observations, we conclude that plastin loss of function results in 85% of zygotes in a pronounced delay in furrow initiation, and in 15% of zygotes, a defect in furrow ingression.

To gain molecular insight into the cause of the delay in cytokinesis initiation, we investigated actomyosin dynamics at the future furrowing site immediately after anaphase onset in zygotes coexpressing GFP::Utrophin, NMY-2::mCherry, and HIS-58::mCherry (Fig. 6 E and Video 8). We found distinct differences in F-actin and NMY-2 recruitment to the cytokinetic ring between control and plst-1 zygotes. In control zygotes, F-actin and NMY-2 concurrently accumulated at the cytokinetic ring, peaking ~90 s after anaphase onset, after which furrow ingression led to a decrease in both F-actin and NMY-2 signals in the plane of observation (Fig. 6, E and F). In contrast, in plst-1 zygotes, an initial increase in F-actin was shorter lived (peaked at 48 s), was not accompanied by NMY-2 recruitment, and did not result in furrow ingression. NMY-2 fluorescence levels remained flat until ~100 s after anaphase onset, after which they rose gradually, along with a second increase in F-actin levels, culminating in furrow ingression ~200 s after anaphase onset (Fig. 6 F). We conclude that PLST-1 promotes efficient recruitment of myosin for timely and robust cytokinetic ring formation.

In silico simulations and PLST-1 overexpression indicate there exists an optimal level of connectivity for effective contractility

To gain further insight into how the amount of F-actin cross-linking affects cortical contractility, we used Cytosim (Nédélec and Foethke, 2007) to simulate the behavior the cortex. We modeled a circular patch of cortex of radius 10 μm made up of filaments having the flexibility of F-actin, and we varied the amounts of motor and cross-linking proteins (Fig. 7 A). As expected, without motor proteins, the network did not contract or show any large-scale movement, with few or many cross-linkers (Fig. 7 A, first and second panels). Interestingly, in the presence of motors, but with relatively few cross-linkers, the network only contracted very slowly (Fig. 7 A, third panel). The optimal contraction rate was only reached when both motors and cross-linkers are abundant (Fig. 7 A, fourth panel). With our parameter set, the maximal contractility is obtained roughly at a 2:1 ratio. Interestingly, doubling or quadrupling of linearized plasma membrane of control, plst-1 mild, and plst-1 severe zygotes expressing mCherry::PAR-6 and GFP::PAR-2. [H] Polarity indices of mCherry::PAR-6 and GFP::PAR-2 in control (n = 12), plst-1 mild (n = 10), and plst-1 severe (n = 4) zygotes with time zeroed at pronuclear meeting. The polarity index was calculated by dividing the fluorescence intensity of each PAR protein in its respective domain by its intensity in the entire cortex. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.
the amount of cross-linker beyond this point reduced contractility significantly (Fig. 7 A, fifth and sixth panels). These results illustrate that the contractile rate depends on the amount of cross-linkers in a nonmonotonous manner: cross-linkers are necessary for contractility, but an excess of cross-linkers inhibits contractility.

The simulation predicted in particular that doubling the amount of cross-linker would have an inhibitory effect on contractility. To test this prediction, we generated a strain expressing PLST-1::GFP driven by the sip-1 promoter on top of endogenous PLST-1 driven by the endogenous plst-1 promoter, resulting in excessive levels of PLST-1 at the cortex (Fig. 7 B). As an indicator for cortical contractility, we measured the time taken to complete cytokinesis from anaphase onset, and we found a significant increase in this time when PLST-1 is overexpressed (225 ± 5 s vs. 257 ± 8 s; n ≥ 16; P < 0.01), supporting the idea
that there exists an optimal amount of PLST-1, above or below which cortical contractility is perturbed.

**Discussion**

Different plastin isoforms in vertebrates have been shown to be important for the function of microvilli in the intestinal brush border, stereocilia of the inner ear, and immune cell adhesion and migration (Flock et al., 1982; Ezzell et al., 1989; Wabnitz et al., 2010; Freeley et al., 2012). Plastin was also shown to be expressed in the mouse oocyte and early embryo, but its role there has not been studied (Ezzell et al., 1989). We found that the sole plastin orthologue in *C. elegans*, PLST-1, is also expressed in the mouse oocyte and early embryo, but its role during late cell divisions explains the remaining embryonic lethality. However, it is also possible that PLST-1 function is required for additional developmental processes, such as epidermal morphogenesis.

Our results indicate that the presence of PLST-1 increases the stiffness of the cortex. This is evident in the response of the plasma membrane to pulling from within the cell by microtubules during spindle orientation and in the degree of cortical recoil in response to laser ablation. Normally, the cortex resists microtubule pulling forces, but in the *plst-1* zygotes, we observed numerous membrane invaginations being pulled through the cortex. Previously, it was shown that such invaginations occur when actin or myosin activity at the cortex is compromised (Redemann et al., 2010). Our results extend these observations to show that actin bundling activity also contributes to cortical stiffness, in line with findings from reconstituted cytoskeletal network studies (Xu et al., 1998).

How does PLST-1 augment cortical stiffness? Most likely, PLST-1 contributes in two ways: by increasing tension in the network and by modulating the mechanical properties of the actin gel. Limited by the resolution of confocal light microscopy, we were not able to distinguish nanoscale difference in the structure of control versus *plst-1* cortices. However, at the mesoscale, we could discern stark differences between the two, both in the size of NMY-2 foci and in their dynamics, from which we can deduce that PLST-1 is a major contributor to the connectivity of the cortical F-actin meshwork. Consistent with this idea, a recent in vitro study by Ennomani et al. (2016) showed that connectivity afforded by the actin cross-linking protein α-actinin to reconstituted actin rings modulates their contractility. In the worm, such connectivity facilitates coalescence and maturation of NMY-2 foci from distant nascent filaments, thereby permitting stronger cortical contractility. Similarly, Murrell and Gardel (2012) have described enlargement of the contractile domain in planar reconstituted actomyosin networks upon addition of the actin cross-linker α-actinin. Interestingly, we did not find any role for α-actinin in the early *C. elegans* zygote. Thus, it appears that F-actin bundling by PLST-1, along with NMY-2 cross-linking, is the major contributor to cortical connectivity in *C. elegans*.

The increased connectivity PLST-1 endows cortical actomyosin has far-reaching consequences for its large-scale dynamics. The contrast between the long-range and persistent cortical flows in control zygotes compared with the erratic and local flows observed in *plst-1* zygotes is dramatic. We were able to quantify the coordination along the cell cortex by measuring the cosine similarity length from PIV data (see Results) and found that during both polarity establishment and cytokinesis initiation, the cosine similarity length of *plst-1* zygotes was half of that in controls. Our in vivo results corroborate earlier work using in vitro reconstitution, where it has been demonstrated that a cross-linked F-actin meshwork can facilitate long-range myosin-mediated contraction (Janson et al., 1991; Bendix et al., 2008). Importantly, our work demonstrates that enhanced connectivity afforded by actin-bundling proteins is critically important in vivo for processes such as polarity establishment and cytokinesis.
Materials and methods

C. elegans strains maintenance

C. elegans strains were maintained using nematode growth medium plates seeded with E. coli strain OP50, as previously described (Brenner, 1974). All experiments were conducted at 20°C unless otherwise stated. Z. Bao (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY) provided strain BU70 [zbs2[pie-1::lifeACT::RFPP]]. M. Glotzer (University of Chicago, Chicago, IL) provided strains MG511 [rad57[pie-1::GFP::tubulin + unc-119(+)]]; lts44[pA173; pie-1::mCherry::YFP/PLCIdelta1]; unc-119 (+)] V and MG589 [mgSi3[ch-UNC-119 (+) GFP::UTROPHIN]] II, A. Hart (Brown University, Providence, RI) provided a strain containing plst-1 (mt4255) IV that had been backcrossed four times. F. Motegi (National University of Singapore, Singapore) provided strain MOT121 [axs1933[pFM034; GFP::par-2 RNAi-resistant (WT par-2 amount)]; ten(s17[mCherry::PAR-6]; par-2(ok1723); unc-119(ed3)]. J. Nance (New York University, New York, NY) provided a strain containing zus151 [amy-2::mCherry]. Knudra Transgenics generated strain COP1292 [knuSi719[paul1184(sip-1::plst-1(isoform a) with syntron::eGFP::tbb-2 UTR, unc-119(+)]; unc-119(ed3)] III. All strains used in this study are listed in Table S1.

CRISPR/Cas9 plst-1 GFP knock-in transgenic worm generation

For CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, we followed established protocols (Dickenson et al., 2013). The CRISPR targeting site 5'–GGAGCATGTTTTCAGACGCTTTCGG-3' located in the last intron, 138 bp upstream of the C terminus of plst-1, was chosen using the online tool http://crispr.mit.edu (Hsu et al., 2013). The CRISPR targeting site, with the exclusion of the PAM, was inserted into pDD162 (#14754; Addgene) using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Inc.). The homologous repair template was generated by cloning 4,243 bp genomic DNA centered approximately on the C terminus of plst-1 into pJET1.2/blunt vector using the CloneJET PCR Cloning kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A full-length GFP fragment with a N terminus 30-bp linker from pPD95.75 (#1494; Addgene) was then subsequently cloned into the plst-1 stop codon was simultaneously removed using the Gibson Assembly Cloning kit (New England Biolabs, Inc.). The PAM in the homologous repair template was mutated from 5'-GGG to 5'-NTT to block undesired cleavage by Cas9.

The modified pDD162, pJET1.2 containing homologous repair template, and an injection marker pRF4 [rol-6(su1006)] were then mixed at the concentration of 50 ng/µl each, respectively, and subsequently injected into the gonads of young adult hermaphrodites (Kim et al., 2014). Among 221 F1 rollers that were then screened visually for the presence of GFP fluorescence, only one heterozygous GFP knock-in animal was identified. Knock-in was confirmed using PCR, and homozygous progeny were outcrossed to wild type four times before analysis was conducted. The GFP knock-in strain was subsequently verified via RNAi of GFP and plst-1, which resulted in the loss-of-functionality phenotype and the loss of GFP signal, respectively. It was also verified that the GFP insertion into the C terminus of plst-1 did not result in any increase of embryonic lethality compared with the wild type.

Protein expression and purification

The plst-1 isoform a cDNA was first amplified using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Inc.) and inserted into a PET-based expression vector pSY5 (EMD Millipore), which encodes an 8x histidine tag at the N terminus, using Gibson Assembly Cloning kit (New England Biolabs, Inc.). pSY5 (plst-1 isoform a) was sequenced to confirm fidelity of PCR amplification and in-frame insertion. The modified vector was then transformed into E. coli strain BL21, and a starter culture was grown to an optical density of 0.6 (OD 600 nm). The starter culture was then transferred into autoinduction medium grown at 15°C for 16 h. The bacterial culture was then lysed and purified using HisTrap FF affinity column (GE Healthcare) and cleaned up with size-exclusion chromatography using Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare). The recombinant protein was then eluted with 50 mM Tris, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, concentrated to 9.5 mg/ml, and subsequently snap frozen in aliquots before subsequent use. SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining confirmed the protein of the correct size and >90% purity. The protein band from the protein gel was then excised and sent for mass spectrometric analysis (Nanyang Technological University, Singapore) for protein identity confirmation.

F-actin cosedimentation assay

F-actin cosedimentation assay was performed using the Actin Binding Protein Biochem kit (Cytoskeleton, Inc.). In brief, rabbit muscle actin was polymerized for 1 h at room temperature from 21 µM Mg-ATP-actin monomers and incubated with PLST-1, BSA, or a-actinin (latter two for controls in low speed) at room temperature for 30 min and then spun at either 150,000 g (high speed) or 14,000 g (low speed) for 30 min. Equal volumes of supernatant and pellet were separated using 8–16% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Gels (Bio-Rad), stained with Coomassie blue for 30 min, and destained overnight. Protein gels were analyzed using ChemiDoc MP System (Bio-Rad).

RNAi

RNAi to knock down gene expression in worms was performed via feeding as previously described (Timmons, 2006). In brief, RNAi feeding clones were inoculated in LB broth supplemented with 100 µg/ml ampicillin for 16 h at 37°C. 50–200 µl of the overnight culture was then seeded on nematode growth medium plates supplemented with 100 µg/ml carbenicillin and 1 mM IPTG. The plates were allowed to dry at room temperature for 2 h and subsequently incubated at 37°C overnight. L4 larvae were transferred to these plates and incubated at 20°C for 36 h before being dissected for yzgote collection.

When available, RNAi feeding clones were obtained from the Ahringer (Source BioScience) or Vidal (GE Healthcare) RNAi libraries (Kamath and Ahringer, 2003; Rual et al., 2004). Although plst-1 RNAi feeding clone (Y104H12B_374.a) was available from the Ahringer RNAi library, there was unspecific targeting caused by 46% overlap with the Y73B3B.1 full-length sequence. To circumvent this, a 608-bp cDNA fragment specific to plst-1 only was cloned between SacI and KpnI in the multiple cloning site of the L4440 vector using standard cloning techniques and transformed into E. coli strain HT115. Primer pairs and template DNA for each gene are indicated in Table S2.

Confocal fluorescence microscopy

To obtain time-lapse or still fluorescence images of newly fertilized zygotes, gravid hermaphrodites were dissected in M9 buffer. The newly fertilized zygote was then transferred onto a 3% agarose pad using a mouth pipette and covered with a 22 × 22 mm cover glass. For whole-worm imaging in Fig. S2 B, a gravid hermaphrodite was anesthetized using 5 µl of 10 mM sodium azide for 10 min on a 3% agarose pad before being covered with a 22 × 22 mm cover glass. An additional 60 µl of M9 buffer was pipetted to the periphery of the agarose pad to prevent sample desiccation during image acquisition. Image acquisition was performed at 20°C on Ti-Eclipse inverted microscope equipped with CSU-X1 spinning disk confocal head (Yokogawa Electric Corporation), DPSS-Laser (Roper Technologies) of 491 and 568 nm excitation wavelengths, and an Evolve Rapid-Cal.
dictively in which the x axis represents the angular position and the y axis represents time (Fig. 5 G). To quantify the mCherry::PAR-6 and the GFP::PAR-2 polarity indices, respectively, in Fig. 5 H, the plasma membrane was divided into two equal halves, where pixels with angular position from 90° to 270° belong to the posterior half and the remaining pixels belong to the anterior half. The polarity index for mCherry::PAR-6 is thus calculated as the ratio of the mCherry::PAR-6 fluorescence intensity of the anterior half boundary and mCherry::PAR-6 fluorescence intensity along the whole boundary. Likewise, the polarity index for GFP::PAR-2 is thus calculated as the ratio of the GFP::PAR-2 fluorescence intensity of the posterior half boundary and GFP::PAR-2 fluorescence intensity along the whole boundary.

For Figs. 6 (E and F) and S6 (A–C), the 256 × 128 pixel (40.96 × 20.48 µm) zygote cortex is subdivided into three regions for PIV analysis or fluorescence intensity measurement: anterior cortex (first to 128th pixel; 0.16–20.48 µm along the A-P axis), furrowing zone (129th–183rd pixel; 20.64–29.28 µm along the A-P axis), and posterior cortex (184th–256th pixel; 29.44–40.96 µm along the A-P axis).

Cortical laser ablation

The system of laser ablation was previously described (Kiehart et al., 2006; Hara et al., 2016). In brief, an ultraviolet laser (355 nm, 300 ps pulse duration, 1 kHz repetition rate, PowerChip PNV-0150-100, team photonics) were interfaced to the Nikon A1R MP confocal microscope. The UV laser was integrated into a Nikon ECLIPSE Ti microscope through a customized optical path and a customized dichroic filter and co-aligned with the optical axis of the microscope. The position of the laser was controlled by a mirror mounted on two linear actuators (TRA12CC; Newport), and the exposure time of the laser was controlled by a mechanical shutter (VS2522ZM0; Uniblitz). The actuators (through the actuator controller, ESP301-3G; Newport) and shutter were controlled by custom ImageJ plug-ins from a PC. The laser ablation system, which is independent from imaging microscope, allows us to perform an ablation during imaging.

We conducted laser ablations as previously described (Mayer et al., 2010). Specifically, we applied 50 ultraviolet pulses to each five equidistant sites along a 6-µm line, which was controlled by an ImageJ plug-in. The laser ablations were performed when the anterior myosin-rich cortex occupied 70% of the embryo length. An ablation was performed at the plane of cortical myosin by the UV laser with the laser power of ~250 nW at back aperture of the objective. Images were acquired every 1.1 s. Imaging was started ~5 s before the ablation and finished ~1–2 min after the ablation.

The initial outward velocity was quantified by performing PIV analysis (http://www.mn.uio.no/math/english/people/aca/jks/matpiv/) on the precut and postcut frames. The outward velocity field was averaged in two 6.6 µm × 4.2 µm rectangular regions top and bottom relative to the ablation line, and the mean orthogonal component to the ablation site is the initial outward velocity.

Physical model of network

Computer simulations were performed with Cytosim, an Open Source project (http://github.com/nedelec/cytosim), following overdamped Langevin dynamics (Nédélec and Foethke, 2007). For this study, every network was composed of 5,000 filaments of length 2.2 µm and with a rigidity of 0.05 µm² pN. The motors and cross-linkers were composed of two identical subunits, linked by a stiffness of 250 pN/µm, diffusing at 10 µm²/s. Both the motor and cross-linker subunits bind at a distance of 10 nm with a rate of 10 s⁻¹ and unbinds with a constant rate of 0.5 s⁻¹. The motor obeys a linear force–velocity relationship with an unloaded speed of 0.5 µm/s and a stall force of 6 pN. The network
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is initialized by distributing all the filaments randomly such that their center is within a disc of radius 10 µm. The system is simulated with a viscosity of 0.1 pN s µm⁻², a thermal energy of 0.0042 pN µm, and a time step of 1 ms, until 4 s of network time is reached.

Bioinformatics
Multiple sequence alignment was performed using T-Coffee (Notredame et al., 2000) and visualized using Jalview (Waterhouse et al., 2009). Sequence identities and similarities were calculated using SIAS (http://imed.med.ucm.es/Tools/sias.html).

Statistical analysis
Unpaired nonparametric test (Mann–Whitney U test) were conducted using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 contains a multiple sequence alignment of C. elegans PLST-1 with other plastin/fimbrin orthologues. Fig. S2 shows the expression pattern of endogenous PLST-1::GFP during later embryogenesis and in the adult hermaphrodite. Fig. S3 shows the phenotypes of plst-1 RNAi and the rescue of plst-1(m4255) by expression of a PLST-1::GFP transgene. Fig. S4 demonstrates that α-actinin is not involved in the regulation of cortical contractility in the zygote. Fig. S5 contains analysis of cortical flows during polarity establishment and initiation of cytokinesis. Video 1 shows PLST-1::GFP and the F-actin reporter Lifeact::RFP in the cortex of the newly fertilized zygote. Video 2 is a ratiometric view of PLST-1::GFP and the F-actin reporter Lifeact::RFP in the cortex of the newly fertilized zygote. Video 3 shows an equatorial view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing a membrane marker and histone marker from polarization to the completion of first cell division. Video 4 shows an equatorial view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing GFP::Utrophin during polarity establishment and polarity maintenance. Video 5 shows cortical actin expression and plst-1 zygotes expressing NMY-2::GFP. Video 6 shows a cortical view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing GFP::Utrophin during polarity establishment and polarity maintenance. Video 6 shows a cortical view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing NMY-2::mCherry during polarity establishment and polarity maintenance. Video 7 shows a cortical view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing GFP::Utrophin and NMY-2::mCherry immediately after separation of sister chromatids. Video 9 is an equatorial view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing mCherry::PAR-6 and GFP::PAR-2 from polarity establishment until completion of first cell division. Table S1 contains a list of strains used in this study with their genotypes. Table S2 contains a list of RNAi feeding clones used in this study.
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Figure S1. Multiple sequence alignment of *C. elegans* PLST-1 with other plastin/fimbrin orthologues. Two possible isoforms of *C. elegans* PLST-1 (highlighted in dotted red rectangle) are aligned with all three human (*Homo sapiens*) plastin isoforms (PLS1, PLS2, and PLS3), zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) PLS2, budding yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) SAC6, fission yeast (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe*) fim1, slime mold (*Dictyostelium discoideum*) fimA, Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) Fim1, and Tetrahymena (*Tetrahymena thermophila*) Fimbrin using T-Coffee.

**Domain legend**
- 1st EF-hand
- 2nd EF-hand
- 1st Calponin homology domain
- 2nd Calponin homology domain
- 4th Calponin homology domain
- Conserved Ca²⁺-binding residue
- Residue difference between two PLST-1 isoforms
- Serine phosphorylation site in *H. sapiens* PLS2
Figure S2. Expression pattern of endogenous PLST-1::GFP during embryogenesis and in the adult hermaphrodite. (A) Expression pattern of endogenous PLST-1::GFP during embryogenesis with prominent enrichment at cell–cell contacts (red arrowheads), the buccal region of the epidermis (cyan arrowhead), pharynx (green arrowhead), and intestine (magenta arrowhead). (B) In adults, PLST-1 expression was found in the socket cells of amphids (i), pharyngeal-intestinal valve (ii), intestinal lumen (iii), syncytial and cellularized gonad (iv), vulval cells (v), intestinal-rectal valve (vi), and socket cells of phasmids (vii). Bars: (micrograph showing whole worm) 100 µm; (all others) 5 µm.
Figure S3. plst-1 RNAi zygotes elicits loss-of-contractility phenotypes, and PLST-1::GFP transgene reverts plst-1 zygotes back into wild type. (A) plst-1 RNAi abolishes most of cortical PLST-1::GFP fluorescence signal and elicits mild loss-of-contractility phenotypes. (B) PLST-1::GFP transgene reverts plst-1 zygotes back into wild type. (C) Quantification of maximum pseudocleavage ingression in control (n = 20), plst-1 (n = 17), and plst-1; sip-1p::plst-1::GFP (n = 21) zygotes. (D) Quantification of pronuclear meeting position along the anterior–posterior (AP) axis in control (n = 20), plst-1 (n = 16), and plst-1; sip-1p::plst-1::GFP (n = 21) zygotes. (E) Quantification of time required from anaphase onset to the completion of cytokinesis in control (n = 20), plst-1 (n = 17), and plst-1; sip-1p::plst-1::GFP (n = 21) zygotes. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. ****, P < 0.0001; n.s., not significant; Mann–Whitney U test. Bars, 5 µm.
Figure S4. **α-Actinin is not involved in the regulation of cortical contractility in the zygote.** (A) Equatorial view of control and atn-1(ok84) zygotes expressing membrane marker GFP::PLC1δ-PH and histone marker HIS-58::mCherry. (B) Quantification of time required from anaphase onset to the completion of cytokinesis in control ($n = 19$) and atn-1(ok84) ($n = 17$) zygotes. (C) Equatorial view of plst-1(tm4255); plst-1(tm4255); atn-1(ok84) zygotes expressing membrane marker GFP::PLC1δ-PH and histone marker HIS-58::mCherry. (D) Quantification of time required from anaphase onset to the completion of cytokinesis in plst-1(tm4255) ($n = 21$) and plst-1(tm4255); atn-1(ok84) ($n = 22$) zygotes. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. ****, $P < 0.0001$; n.s., not significant; Mann–Whitney U test. Bars, 5 µm.
Figure S5. Analysis of cortical flows during polarity establishment and initiation of cytokinesis. (A) GFP::Utrophin and NMY-2::mCherry intensity profiles during polarity establishment in control (n = 9) and plst-1 (n = 9) zygotes. (B) Quantification of posterior end X-component flow velocity in control (n = 15) and plst-1 (n = 14) zygotes. (C) Quantification of X-component flow velocity gradient in control (n = 15) and plst-1 (n = 14) zygotes. (D) Mean vector field NMY2::mCherry cortical flow in the control (n = 6) and plst-1 (n = 5) cortex during polarity establishment. (E) X-component velocity profiles of NMY2::mCherry cortical flow in control (n = 15) and plst-1 (n = 14) zygotes during polarity establishment. (F) Decay profile of cosine similarity between two vectors in the NMY2::mCherry cortical flow in control (n = 15) and plst-1 zygotes (n = 14) during polarity establishment. (G) Cosine similarity length of control (n = 15) and plst-1 (n = 14) zygotes during polarity establishment. (H) Representative cortical views of control and plst-1 zygotes with their instantaneous cortical flow PIV vector field from anaphase onset to complete ingression of the cortex from the imaged focal plane. (I and J) X- and Y-component velocity profiles of GFP::Utrophin cortical flow in the anterior cortex and posterior cortex of the control (n = 16) and plst-1(tm4255) (n = 18) from anaphase onset to complete ingression of the cortex from the focal plane. (K) Cosine similarity between two vectors in the GFP::Utrophin cortical rotational flow in control (n = 16) and plst-1(tm4255) (n = 18) from anaphase onset to complete ingression of the cortex from the focal plane. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. ****, P < 0.0001, Mann–Whitney U test. Bar, 5 µm.
Video 1. Cortical view of the newly fertilized zygote coexpressing PLST-1::GFP and the F-actin reporter Lifeact::RFP. Time interval, 5 s. Bar, 5 µm.

Video 2. GFP/RFP ratiometric movie of cortical view of the newly fertilized zygote coexpressing PLST-1::GFP and F-actin reporter Lifeact::RFP. Time interval, 5 s. Pseudocolor palette ranges from minimum 0 (blue) to maximum >1.8 (red). Bar, 5 µm.

Video 3. Equatorial view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing membrane marker GFP::PLC1δ-PH and histone marker HIS-58::mCherry from polarization to the completion of first cell division. Time interval, 10 s. Bar, 5 µm.

Video 4. Equatorial view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing mCherry::PLC1δ-PH and GFP::tubulin during spindle oscillation. Time interval, 10 s. Bar, 5 µm.

Video 5. Cortical laser ablation is performed at the anterior cortex control and plst-1 zygotes expressing NMY-2::GFP undergoing polarity establishment. Ablation was performed at time −5 s along a 6-µm white line indicated at time 0 s. Time interval, 1 s. Bar, 5 µm.

Video 6. Cortical view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing GFP::Utrophin during polarity establishment and polarity maintenance. Time interval, 10 s. Bar, 5 µm.

Video 7. Cortical view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing NMY-2::mCherry during polarity establishment and polarity maintenance. Time is zeroed at separation of sister chromatids. Time interval 10 s. Bar, 5 µm.
Video 8. Cortical view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing GFP::Urophin and NMY-2::mCherry immediately after separation of sister chromatids. Time is zeroed at separation of sister chromatids. Time interval, 3 s. Bar, 5 µm.

Video 9. Equatorial view of control and plst-1 zygotes expressing mCherry::PAR-6 and GFP::PAR-2 from polarity establishment until completion of first cell division. Time is zeroed at pronuclear meeting. Time interval, 10 s. Bar, 5 µm.

Table S1. List of strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RZB217</td>
<td>plst-1[msn190[plst-1::gfp]] IV; zbs2[pie-1::lifeACT::RFP]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB88</td>
<td>plst-1[tm4255] IV; lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV; lts38 [pAA1; pie-1::GFP::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119(+)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD95</td>
<td>lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV; lts38 [pAA1; pie-1::GFP::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119(+)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB117</td>
<td>plst-1[tm4255] IV; ruh37[pie-1::GFP::tubulin + unc-119(+)]; lts44[pAA173; pie-1::mCherry::PH[PLC1delta1]; unc-119(+)] IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOS11</td>
<td>ruh37[pie-1::GFP::tubulin + unc-119(+)]; lts44[pAA173; pie-1::mCherry::PH[PLC1delta1]; unc-119(+)] IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB182</td>
<td>plst-1[tm4255] IV; lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV; mgS13[cb-UNC-119 (+) GFP::UTROPHIN] II; zuls151 [nmy-2::mCherry]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB134</td>
<td>mgS13[cb-UNC-119 (+) GFP::UTROPHIN] II; zuls151 [nmy-2::mCherry]; lts37 [pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB19</td>
<td>plst-1[tm4255] IV; lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV; mgS13[cb-UNC-119 (+) GFP::UTROPHIN] II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB18</td>
<td>lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV; mgS13[cb-UNC-119 (+) GFP::UTROPHIN] II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB93</td>
<td>plst-1[tm4255] IV; zuls45 [nmy-2::NMY2::GFP + unc-119(+)] IV; lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB92</td>
<td>zuls45 [nmy-2::NMY2::GFP + unc-119(+)] IV; lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB213</td>
<td>plst-1[msn190[plst-1::gfp]] IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB218</td>
<td>plst-1[msn190[plst-1::gfp]] IV; unc-119(ed3) III; lts38 [pAA1; pie-1::GFP::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119(+)]; lts44[pAA173; pie-1::mCherry::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119(+)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB254</td>
<td>atn-1[ok84] IV; lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV; lts38 [pAA1; pie-1::GFP::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119(+)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB255</td>
<td>atn-1[ok84] IV; plst-1[tm4255] IV; lts37 [pAA64; pie-1::mCherry::his-58 + unc-119(+)] IV; lts38 [pAA1; pie-1::GFP::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119(+)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB58</td>
<td>lts38[pAA1; pie-1::GFP::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119(+)]; lts44[pAA173; pie-1::mCherry::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119(+)]; unc-119(ed3) III?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB271</td>
<td>plst-1[tm4255] IV; knus719[pnu1134[sp-1::sp-1(sp-1 [isoform a] with syntron::eGFP::tbb-2 UTR, unc-119([+])]; lts38[pAA1; pie-1::GFP::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119([+])]; lts44[pAA173; pie-1::mCherry::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119([+])]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB272</td>
<td>knus719[pnu1134[sp-1::sp-1(sp-1 [isoform a] with syntron::eGFP::tbb-2 UTR, unc-119([+])]; lts38[pAA1; pie-1::GFP::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119([+])]; lts44[pAA173; pie-1::mCherry::PH[PLC1delta1] + unc-119([+])]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOT121</td>
<td>axIs1933[pmFM034; GFP::par-2 RNAi-resistant (WT par-2 amount)]; temIs17[unc::PAR-6]; par-2(ak1723); unc-119(ed3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZB273</td>
<td>plst-1[tm4255] IV; axIs1933[pmFM034; GFP::par-2 RNAi-resistant (WT par-2 amount)]; temIs17[unc::PAR-6]; par-2(ak1723); unc-119(ed3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2. List of RNAi feeding clones used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward primer (5′–3′)</th>
<th>Reverse primer (5′–3′)</th>
<th>Template</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>arx-2</td>
<td>TCCGAAAAGACTCCGAGA</td>
<td>TATCAGCCGAATGTGATTC</td>
<td>Ahringer library (clone V-7M13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyk-1</td>
<td>CTTGACCAGAGCTCCCTCAAT</td>
<td>TGTCCCATGAGAAGTTCCC</td>
<td>Ahringer library (clone III-3P11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plst-1</td>
<td>CTCGACGCTCATGAGAGTTATATATATTG</td>
<td>GAGGTACCTTCCCCGAGTTCCA</td>
<td>N2 cDNA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>